

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,705	10/03/2003	Brad Duesler	054446-0105	9615
23524 7.	590 11/01/2006	•	EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 150 EAST GILMAN STREET			DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE	
P.O. BOX 149°			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MADISON, W	/I 53701-1497		3611	

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(c)				
		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/679,705	DUESLER, BRAD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cassandra Davis	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	1. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 August 2006</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 17-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,17,18,21 and 23</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24, 25</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
. ·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	ate latent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/679,705 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed August 18, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 12, 17, 18, 21, are 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barney, U. S. Patent 343,688 in view of Parks, U. S. Parks 4,538,430.
- 3. Barney teaches a collar having an outer display surface adapted to receive a slip of paper having indicia thereon. The collar also has an upper portion with an upper lip B and a lower portion with a lower lip B defining a slot formed there-between for receiving the slip of paper C. Barney does not teach the collar having a spacer.
- 4. Parks teaches a collar/ring 15 having a spacer 10 to adjusting the interior diameter to be place on objects such as fingers with various sizes.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,705 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

5. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the this invention was made to construct the collar/ring taught by Barney with a spacer as taught by Parks to provide a means to adjust the interior diameter or size of the ring/collar.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 18 is allowed.
- 7. Claims 22, 24, and 25 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed August 18, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that there is not a suggestion or motivation to combine the Barney and Parks reference. In addition, the applicant argues since a napkin is flexible article, the dimension are easily adjusted to fit the interior diameter of a napkin ring of the type described in Barney. The examiner disagrees. Both Barney and Parks teaches a ring, wherein Part further teaches a means to adjust the interior diameter of the ring.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,705

Art Unit: 3611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-6642. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,705

Art Unit: 3611

Page 5

Cassandra Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

CD October 30, 2006